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## SUMMIARY REJECTION

## MNZAVAS, J.A.:

The appellants, Crispin Martine and Avelin Josept, were fointly charged with and convicted of the offence of robbery e/ss 285 and 286 of the Penal Code and sentenced to 15 years imprisonment by the Rombo District Court. Dissatisfied with the finding of the irial court they appealed to the High Court. The High Court dismissed the appeal on the ground that "the *Ffence was committed in broad day light and therefore there could be no question of mistaken identification of the appellants as the bandits".

Undaunted by the High court decision they have now appealed to this court.

Our reading: of the evidence shows that the offence was committed during the day time and the appellants were well known to the witness PW. 3, the victim of the robbery, before the incident.

That being the evidence the question of mistaken identification of the appellants as the robbers was rightly excluded by the lower courts. The conviction was fully supperted by the evidemce. As for the sentence of 15 years imprisonpment it ts the mandatory minimum for the ofrence uf cobbery.

In the event we are satisfied, and so certify, that this appeal has been lodged without any guffirient ground ex cemalelnt and we accordingly order under Section 4(4) of the Appellate Jumseliction Act 1979 as amended by Act NO. $16 / 1984$ and Act No.的
lxhas) that it be summarily rejected.

DATED at DAR ES SALAAM this 11th day of July, 1996.
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