IN THE HIGH COURT OF TANZANTA

AT DAR ES SALAAM

CIVIL CASE NO. 200/2000

KILUA ALI KISAKAAPPELLANT

VERSUS

AGRICULTURAL IMPUTS

TRUST FUNDS & OTHERS.....RESPONDENT

JUDGMENT

LUANDA J.

Kukopa Harusi, kulipa Matanga. This Kiswahili saying applies to this case. Literally translated in Englishi thus:-to sorrow/ joyous, whereas to pay back is sorrowful.

Having gone through the evidence of the parties and documents tendered in court there is no dispute that the plaintiff the defendant with the sole purpose of berrowing money. The plaintiff wrote a letter to that effect thereafter he filed in application form.

The plaintiff intended to bosrow Tshs 20,000,000/= In return he was required to surrender a title deed as security. The plaintiff did that. The defendant took all necessary steps including filing notice of search in the Ministry of Land to see whether there was any encumbrance.

There was none. The title deed was in the name of the plaintiff.

The transaction was one on 2/4/1997. The plaintiff was to settle the debt latest by 18th March, 1998.

The plaintiff was unable to settle the debt as per the agreement.

Newspaper

The defendant through, dated 9th May, 2000 published notice of sale of the mortgaged property. The plaintiff rushed to this court and instituted this suit in that the property attached should be released and the properties of the 3rd and 4th date be substituted.

He also filed an application for a temporary injustion. This court refused

He also filed an application for a temporary injuction. This court refused the order prayed for as there is no strike issue to be adjusted upon.

The plaintiff denied to have mortgaged his house with the defendant. That is an afterthought. The evidence on record is icul and clear in that he was the are who borrowed the money and mortgaged his house as cerateral. And to date he is yet to settle the debt.

The defendant said they had already sold the house. Let me recommend what I had stated when recommend to grant temporary injuction. I said:

as a borrower and alike is to repay the lace and not to rush to the court of law for protection. Court of law will not accept lame excuses in not fitting debts. And it will be the last institution, if at all, to protect such borrowers of the applicant's calibre.

In view of the foregoing, therefore, the suit has merits. The same is dismissed with costs.

Order accordingly.

B.M.Luanda,J.

9/7/2003

Judgment delivered Mr Ukungwa for the Plaintiff and Tribles of Lyimo for the defendant.

B.M.Luanda

Judge

10/7/2003