

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA  
IN THE DISTRICT REGISTRY OF SHINYANGA**

**AT SHINYANGA**

**(LABOUR DIVISION)**

**MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATION NO. 25 OF 2016**

*(Arising from the Court's decision and decree of the High Court of Tanzania Labour  
Division in Misc. Application No. 29 of 2015)*

**FAUSTIN NANGALE.....APPLICANT**

**VERSUS**

**SHINYANGA REGIONAL CO-OPERATIVE UNION (1984) LTD..... RESPONDENT**

**RULING**

*Date of last order: 05. 12. 2018*

*Date of Ruling: 05. 12. 2018*

**Ebrahim, J.:**

The applicant has brought this application praying to be extended time to file revision at this court. Counsel for the respondent has raised three objections in essence that the application is misconceived in toto. Applicant conceded to the points of objection.

Need I say more, indeed the present application is totally misconceived. The applicant seeks to revise the order of Hon. Mipawa, J (as he then was) which actually struck out his previous application for

leave by telling him that his right of appeal is automatic. Needless to say the law used by the applicant is totally wrong, understandably so being that he is a lay person.

From the above observations therefore, I sustain the objections and accordingly struck out the application for being a misconception. It is a labour matter, no costs.

