IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA IN THE DISTRICT REGISTRY OF ARUSHA AT ARUSHA

PROBATE & ADMINISTRATION CAUSE NO. 24 OF 2021

IN THE MATTER OF THE ESTATE OF THE LATE	
AMRATLAL NATHALAL VIRJEE	DECEASED
AND	

IN THE MATTER OF AN APPLICATION

FOR LETTERS OF ADMINISTRATION BY

URESH AMRATLAL NATHALAL.....PETITIONER

RULING

08/02/2022 & 23/03/2022

GWAE, J

The petitioner, **URESH AMRATLAL NATHALAL**, the son of the deceased person one **AMRATLAL NATHALAL VIRJEE** is before this court petitioning for letters of administration of the estate of his late father who died intestate on the 1st May 2021 at Jaffery Hospital -Moshi. The deceased person at the time of his death is said to have a fixed place of abode Arusha Region.

The petition is to the effect that the deceased person is survived by one son who is the petitioner in this matter and the named estate that is likely to be administered by the petitioner will be of an estimated value of Tshs. 210,000,000/= including;

- i. Jewelry and Gemstones at Arusha Tshs. 180,000,000/=
- ii. Other sources Tshs. 30,000,000/=

At the hearing, the petitioner through his advocate Ms. Neema Oscar informed the court that, they have made a requisite citation through Daily News dated $19-25^{th}$ October 2021 and that no caveat which has been entered in respect of the petition, she thus prayed for the grant of the petition. The petitioner also tendered original copies of the deceased's death certificate and the minutes of the meeting appointing him.

I have considered the petition, so to say, I have seen no reason as to why letters of administration should not be granted to the petitioner for two reasons, **firstly**, that ever since the petition has been filed in this court together with the citation to the public, no caveat has been entered in respect of the petition or whatsoever and **secondly**, that, I have gone through the minutes of the family meeting and the petitioner was dully

appointed by his family with no reservations to petition for letters of

administration of the estate of his late father.

Having said so, I am of the considered view that since the petition has

disclosed that the deceased left properties, it is therefore sensible that such

properties fall under the administration of an administrator dully appointed

by this court.

That being said, the petitioner **URESH AMRATLAL NATHALAL** is

hereby appointed to be an administrator of the state of his late father

AMRATLAL NATHALAL VIRJEE.

The appointed administrator is hereby ordered to administer the

deceased's estate in accordance with the law. He is further directed to make

and exhibit inventory of the deceased person's estate in this court within six

(6) months from the date of this ruling and present final accounts within

twelve (12) months from the date of this order or within such period as may

be extended by the court upon application.

It is so ordered.

M.R. GWAE JUDGE 23/03/2022

3

Court: Mention on 27/09/2022 for ascertainment of the Administrator's filing of the inventory by the administrators.

BOIH OF RANKA

M.R. GWAE JUDGE 23/03/2022