IN THE HIGH COURT OF TANZANIA IN THE SUB-REGISTRY OF MWANZA AT MWANZA

MISC. LAND APPLICATION CASE NO. 60 OF 2021

(Application arising from High Court of the United Republic of Tanzania at Mwanza, Land Case No. 12 of 2021)

JINANAI DUKA	APPLICANT
VERSUS	
1. MISANA JINANAI	1st RESPONDENT
2. FRANCISCO SHATA	2 ND RESPONDENT
3. BONIPHACE RAPHAEL	3RD RESPONDENT
4. WILLIAM STANSLAUS	4 TH RESPONDENT
5. OSCAR WATSON	5 TH RESPONDENT
6. JOSEPH BAHATI KITYEGE	6 TH RESPONDENT
7. ALICIA WENDELINE	
8. CHARLES MALABA	8 TH RESPONDENT
9. NGANGA MISANA	9 TH RESPONDENT
10. ARON TITO	
11. PETER ELTON	11 TH RESPONDENT
12. KUSEKWA MERICK	12 TH RESPONDENT
13. MONICA JOHN	
14. WILLE ATHANAS	14 TH RESPONDENT
15. DIDA MANUMBU	15 TH RESPONDENT
16. ANGELINA MASAMAKI	16 TH RESPONDENT
17. LIGWA JUSTINE@MSAJIWA	17 TH RESPONDENT
8. GEORGE NTULILA	18 TH RESPONDENT
19. BUPAMA VILLAGE COUNCIL	19TH RESPONDENT
20. KWIMBA DISTRICT COUNCIL EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR20TH	
RESPONDENT	
21. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	
2. THE SOLICITOR GENERAL	22 ND RESPONDENT

RULING

31ST MAY, 2022

W.P. DYANSOBERA, J.:

The applicant Jinani Duka has filed this application before this court seeking an interim order restraining the 1st to 19th respondents, their authorized agents, or any person(s) working under their instruction from among other things, wasting, selling, re-allocating, alienating, cutting trees, cultivating or building houses on the suit lang pending the hearing and final determination of Land case No. 12 of 2021.

The applicant is also praying for costs and any other reliefs. The application has been resisted through counter affidavit filed by the respondents.

On 25/10/2021 when the application came up for hearing, the applicant defaulted appearance. The application was set for hearing on 07/12/2021. On that date the applicant was absent but with notice. The case was scheduled for hearing on 10/03/2022 then on 31/05/2022.

Today i.e. 31/05/2022 neither the applicant nor his advocate is present, There is no notice. Ms. Sabina Yongo, learned State Attorney representing the 21st and 22nd respondent has preyed that this application be dismissed for want of prosecution.

I agree, The conduct exhibited by the applicant and his counsel of defaulting appearance without notice conveys no any explanation other than that the applicant has lost interest in prosecuting his application

That, being the case, the prayer by learned state Attorney for the 21^{st} and 22^{nd} respondents are granted and this application is dismissed for want of prosecution.

The respondents are awarded costs.

COUP

W. P. Dyansobera
Judge
31/05/2022

Ruling delivered this 31^{st} day of May, in the presence of the 2^{nd} , 4^{th} , 6^{th} , 7^{th} , and 10^{th} respondents and in the presence of Mr. Mwita Mussa Mwita, learned State Attorney for the 19^{th} and 20^{th} respondents and Ms. Sabrina Yongo, learned State Attorney for the 21^{st} and 22^{nd} respondents.

W. P. Dyansobera Judge

31/05/2022