# IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA (COMMERCIAL DIVISION) AT DAR-ES-SALAAM

#### MISC.COMMERCIAL APPL. NO.94 OF 2021

(Arising from Commercial Case No.76 of 2021)

VIVO ENERGY TANZANIA LIMITED-----APPLICANT

VERSUS

ALCHEMIST ENERGY TRADING DMCC----1<sup>St</sup> RESPONDENT
NATIONAL BANK OF COMMERCE LTD--2<sup>ND</sup> RESPONDENT
ODDO BHF AKTIENGESELLCHAFT.......3<sup>RD</sup> RESPONDENT

#### RULING

Date of Last Order: 21/03/2022 Date of Ruling: 06/04/2022

### NANGELA, J:.,

This application was brought under a certificate of urgency. It was based on Order XXXVII Rule 2 (1) and section 68 (e) of the Civil Procedure Code, Cap.33 R.E 2019, and any other enabling provisions of the law. Earlier this Court issued an order *ex-parte ad at interim* stage only pending the hearing of the parties *inter-partes*.

At the inter-partes stage the Applicant applied for the for the following, that:

- 1. This Honourable Court pleased to issue an order of temporary injunction restrain the Respondents, their workmen, employees, licensees, agents and whoever acting under them from encashing the Credit Letter 002LCNB210540001 dated 23rd February 2021- in respect of the sum of US\$ 201,398.44issued by the Applicant in favour of the 1st Respondent, pending hearing determination of the main suit.
- 2. Costs of the Application be provided for.
- Any other relief the Court may deem fit to grant.

After issuing an ex-parte rulings on 9<sup>th</sup> July 2021 to maintain *status quo* pending determination of this Application, and when this Court was readying itself to hear the parties, a request was made by the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent to adjourn the matter as the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent was negotiating with the Applicant for an amicable settlement.

On 21<sup>st</sup> March 2021, Mr Joseph Nuwamanya who appeared in this Court for the 2<sup>nd</sup> Respondent briefed the Court about the status of the negotiations which were held between the Applicant and the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondents.

He told the Court that, the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondents agreed to refund (and, have, indeed refunded) EURO (€) 170,076.64 to the Applicant's Account, which amount was equal to the amount under the Letter of Credit. A letter signed by the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondents was submitted as proof.

Secondly, the Court was further informed that, the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondents have no objection to the granting

of the application as prayed but asked that costs be denied.

Mr Noah Samwel, the learned counsel who appeared for the Applicant confirmed what Mr Nuwamanya had informed the Court concerning the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondents. However, he pressed for costs against the 1<sup>st</sup> Respondent.

In view of such developments, this Cost settles for the following orders:

- That, since the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup>
   Respondents have refunded the
   Applicant EURO (€)
   170,076.64 and do not oppose
   the applicant's prayers except
   the prayer for costs as against them, this Court do hereby grant this Application.
- The granting of this application, however, is with no costs to the Applicant by the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Respondents, but the 1<sup>st</sup>

Respondent shall pay costs to the Applicant.

## It is so Ordered

DATED at DAR-ES-SALAAM, THIS 06<sup>TH</sup> APRIL 2022

HON. DEO JOHN NANGELA JUDGE