IN THE UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA IN THE HIGH COURT OF TANZANIA (LAND DIVISION) AT DAR ES SALAAM

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 657 OF 2016

(Originating from the Decision of O.Y. Mbega, the Chairperson of Morogoro District Land and Housing Tribunal in Application No. 97 of 2014, dated 02nd May 2016)

MAULID HASSAN.....APPLICANT

VERSUS

RULING

Date of the Ruling 27th August 2018

R.J. KEREFU, J

The applicant herein filed this Application under Section 14(1) of the Law of Limitation Act, Cap. 89 [R.E. 2002] praying for extension of time to allow the applicant to lodge appeal out of time. The Application is supported by an Affidavit deponed by the applicant himself.

On the other side the 1^{st} respondent has filed a Counter Affidavit challenging the Application. The 2^{nd} respondent was served through a substituted service, but has never appeared.

On 27th August 2018, when the matter was called for mention, Mr. Edward Magayane, the learned Counsel appeared for the applicant and the 1st respondent appeared in person. Mr. Magayane prayed the matter to proceed exparte against the 2nd respondent.

However, before granting that prayer, the Court requested Mr. Magayane to peruse the Application and address the Court if the same is properly filed before the Court. After going through the Application Mr. Magayane informed the Court that the matter is filed under Section 14(1) of the Law of Limitation Act, (supra) instead of Section 41(2) of the of the Land Disputes Courts Act, Cap. 216,[R.E.2002]. He as such noted that the matter is incompetent before the Court.

It is on record that the decision the applicant seeks for an extension of time to challenge is from Application No. 425 of 2012 which is originating from the District Land and Housing Tribunal. The proper Section is 41(2) of the Land Disputes Courts Act, which provides that:-

"Subject to the provisions of any law for the time being in force all appeals, revisions and similar proceedings from or in respect of any

proceedings in a District Land and Housing Tribunal in the exercise

of its original jurisdiction shall be heard by the High Court.

41 (2) An appeal under subsection (1) may be lodged within forty

five days after the date of the decision or order. Provided

that, the High Court ay, for good cause, extend the time for

filing an appeal either before or after the expiration of such

period of forty five days" [Emphasis added].

It is clear that the provision above is on the matters where the District

Land and Housing Tribunal is exercising its original jurisdiction like in this

matter. Therefore, it was wrong for the applicant to jump and cite a

provision in the Law of Limitation Act, while the principle Act governing

land dispute has such a provision.

In the event and taking into account that, the Application before this Court

is incompetent, I hereby declare that, Misc. Land Application No. 657 of

2016 is hereby struck out. I make no order as to costs. It is so ordered.

DATED at DAR ES SALAAM this 20th day of August 2018.

R. J. Kerefu.

JUDGE

27/08/2018

3

COURT- Ruling delivered in Court Chambers in the presence of the parties.

. Kerefu

JUDGE

27/08/2018