# IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA (LAND DIVISION)

## AT DAR ES SALAAM

## MISC. LAND APPLICATION NO. 294 OF 2017

(Originated from Misc. Land Appeal No. 99 of 2016)

SAID SALIM ..... APPLICANT

VERSUS

RAMADHANI KENGIA ..... RESPONDENT

#### **RULING**

The application beforehand was lodged under the provisions of Section

#### S.M. MAGHIMBI, J:

38(1) of the Land Disputes Court Act, Cap. 216 R.E 2002 whereby the applicant was seeking for extension of time within which he could appeal against the decision Temeke District Land And Housing Tribunal (The District Tribunal) in Land Appeal No. 99/2016, the same originating from the decision of the Sandali Ward Tribunal in Land Case No. 206/2004. However, while I was going through the records of this application particularly the affidavit in support of the application and the Chamber Summons, I found that in the title of his Chamber Summons, the applicant seeks to appeal against the decision of the District Tribunal in Land Appeal No. 18/2015. However, in the first prayer of his Chamber Summons, the applicant prays for extension of time to appeal against the decision of The District Tribunal in Land Appeal No. 99/2016 while annexure S-1 in his

affidavit is a decision of the District Tribunal in Appeal No. 18/2015 and not

No. 99/2016. As if that is not enough, while in the title of the Chamber

Summons the applicant seeks to appeal against the decision of District

Tribunal in Appeal No. 18/2015 originating from the decision of Sandali

Ward Tribunal in Land Case No. 206/2004, the judgment of the District

Tribunal attached as S-1 to the affidavit says that the District Tribunal in

Appeal No. 18/2015 arises from the decision of the Sandali Ward Tribunal

in Land Case No. 206/2014 and not of 2004 as cited by the applicant.

The uncertainty in the records of the application necessitated me to

determine this application as I am not sure as to which decision the

applicant seeks to appeal from. If I am to rely on the first prayer of the

Chamber Summons then the appeal sought to be challenged is No.

99/2016 while when I go to the affidavit which is the sworn statement in

the affidavit, the applicant seeks to challenge the decision of the District

Tribunal in Appeal No. 18/2015. I cannot be in any position to make

assumptions or correct errors committed by the applicant. It is the

applicant who has to be certain as to that which he wishes to move the

court on. Until he is certain and settled in mind, he should not come to

court corridors to confuse the court and expect the court to assume that

which he wants.

That said, since the applicant is not certain as to that which he needs to

move the court on, the application beforehand cannot be determined and

is hereby struck out with costs.

Application Struck Out

Dated at Dar es Salaam this 12th day of September, 2019

S.M. MAGHIMBI

JUDGE

2