IN THE HIGH COURT UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA (LAND DIVISION)

AT DAR ES SALAAM

MISC. APPLICATION NO. 478 OF 2023

(Arising Judgment and Decree of the Land Case No. 9 of 2010 before the High Court of Tanzania (Land Division) at Dar es Salaam Hon. Kibella, J delivered on 27th June 2014

- ABDALLAH MBELWA
- 2. ADBDALLAH MTEGAME
- 3. ABDALLAH MOHMAED LILEMBE
- 4. ABILLAHI MOHAMED HASSANI
- 5. ADAM ALLY
- 6. ADINATA AKEYO ONDITI
- 7. AGNESS SAMSON SONGOLA
- 8. AHMAD RAJABU
- 9. ALLY ABDALLAH IVICHIYA
- 10. ALLY DIKOROMA WAZIRI
- 11.11ALLY ISMAIL MAKABALA
- 12. ALLY MKOMAWANDU
- 13. ALLY NASSORO MAKAME
- 14. ALLY SALIM ANDALLAH
- 15. ALOYCE EMMANUEL KILEMBE
- 16.AMINA HASSAN
- 17. AMINA SOUD
- 18. AMMY RAMADHAN
- 19. AMON BENSON SINYANGWE
- 20. AMOS KALUGENDO
- 21. ANAEL NDECHILO ELISA
- 22. ANGELINA L.KANGILA
- 23. ANTHONY GERALD
- 24. ASHA ISSA
- 25. ASHA MOYO
- 26. ASINA SULULU ABEID
- 27. ATE HAMIS ALLY
- 28. ATHUMAN ALLY SINAHOFU
- 29. ATHUMAN AMIRI SHEIKH
- 30. ATHUMAN ATHUMAN GOBARI
- 31.ATHUMAN KONDO KISEBENGO
- 32. ATHUMAN MWNINYIMVUA
- 33. ATHUMAN UREMBO
- 34. AYUB IVILELI]
- 35. AZIZA HUSSEIN-ADMINISTRATIX OF HUSSEIN KUNGA
- 36. AZIZA MANEO KINGO
- 37. BAKARI KIDUNDA-ADMINISTRATOR OF AMINA ALLY_

- 38. BAKARI HABIBU MWINYIGOFO
- 39. BAKARI MSHAMU
- 40. BAKARI S.MGUMILO
- 41. BAKARI SAIDI MKENDA
- 42. BEATRICE D. NALINGA
- 43. BENSON AMON SINYANGWE
- 44. BUNDALA B. KABULWA
- 45. CATHERINE EDYBRANDY IVIKULA
- 46. DANIEL THOMAS
- 47. DOTTO RAJABU
- 48. EDWARD SINYANGWE
- 49. EDWIN NOAH-ADMINISTRATOR OF NOAH MWANGILE
- 50. ELIAS JUSTIN SILUNGWE
- 51. ELIAS MAYEMBA
- 52. ELISANTE KAWICHE
- 53. ELIZABETH MCHANI
- 54. EMMANUEL CLEMENCE
- 55. ERICK MICHAEL PEMBWA
- 56. EUNICE EUSTACE MAJULA
- 57. EVA ALOYCE MTANGA
- 58. FATUMA ALLY CHITAPA
- 59. FATUMA ALLY KAPOLO
- 60. FATUMA RAJABU IVIKALI
- 61. FATUMA SALUM MAHAWA
- 62. FELIX KILUMILE
- 63. FIKIRI RAMADHANI-ADMINISTARTOR OF RAMADAHNI ISMAIL
- 64. FREDERICK SICHAMBA MDASA
- 65. FRIDA LUFUNGA
- 66. GASPER SHOMI MASWAGA
- 67. GRACE EMILY BAKUZA
- 68, HABIBA M.MNYAMANI
- 69. HABIBA OMARY SELEMANI
- 70. HADIJA RASHIDI
- 71. HAJI SELEMANI SEIF
- 72. HALIMA ABDALLAH-ADMINISTRATIX IDD MTITU
- 73. HALLMA HASSAN RASHID
- 74. HALIMA MOHAMED-ADMINISTRATIX OF
- MOHAMED ATHUMAN KINYOGORI
- 75. HAMAD ADAM KONDO
- 76. HAMAD AWADHI
- 77. HAMISI SAIDI ALLY
- 78. HAMISI SALEHE NDWANGA
- 79. HAMISI UREMBO MUNGULE
- 80. HAMZA TWAHA
- 81. HARUNA HASSA MWEBEYA
- 82. HASINA SURU ABEID

- 83. HASSAN ATHUMAN-ADMINISTRATOR SHABAN HASSAN
- 84. HASSAN HABIBU SHINDO
- 85. HASSAN OMARY KISAMVU
- 86. HASSAN RAMADHANI DHAHABU
- 87. HASAN SELEMAN-ADMINISTRATOR OF SELEMANI BAKARI
- 88. HAWA IVIWAMBA
- 89. HEMED BAHORO
- 90. HIDAYA MWALIMU-ADMINISTRATIX OF ESHEJEE IBRAHIM
- 91. HIDAYA ZUBERI
- 92. HUSSEIN KONDO MCHINGA
- 93. HUSEIN ZAKARIA MWAFILE
- 94. HUSSUNA DAMIANI GOWELA
- 95. INNOCENT GODFREY
- 96. ISMAIL ABDALLAH-ADMINISTRATOR OF ADBALLAH NASSOR
- 97. JANGALI STANSLAUS MANYONYI
- 98. JOHA SALUM
- 99. JOHA SEIF
- 100.JOHN KIHIYO KINGAZI
- 101.JUMA BAKARI MUSSA
- 102.JUMA BAKARI MUSSA
- 103.JUMA HAMIS RAMADHANI
- 104.JUMA KANYANGA KIDUNGU
- 105.JUMA MOHAMED ALLY
- 106.JUMA MUSA
- 107.JUMA SIMBA
- 108.JUMA YUSUPH TITO
- 109. JUMANNE SHABANI GOME
- 110.JUWALAKO SAID
- 111.KASPAR DSIMAS SAIDI
- 112.KASSIM RAJABU
- 113.KASSIM SELEMANI MZAZI
- 114.KHALFANI ABDALLAH
- 115.KIBIBI SULTANI MKALACHAKA
- 116.KOMBO KHAMISI KOMBO
- 117.KULWA RAJABU-ADMINISTRATOR OF
- MWANAHAWA SELEMANI
- 118.LACKSON G. NDIMBWA
- 119.LUCAS LUGUMBA
- 120.LUDOVICK BENARD SINDANI
- 121.MAALIM RASHID KOTI
- 122.MABULA EDWARD
- 123.MAGNUS SIMON RUHELEKI
- 124, MAGRETH KIPALULE
- 125.MAHSEN SALIM

126.MAISALA JUMA-ADMINISTARTOR OF

ZABU KISONTA

127. MARIA ANTHONY KAZIMOTO-ADMINISTRATIX OF

SEVERIA JOHAKIM

128.MARIA MHOJA STEPHANO

129.MARIAM BAKARI MLELI

130.MARIAM MOHAMED LUKANDAMILA

131.MARIAMU ISSA MKWENJELE

132.MARIAMU S.MBWANA

133.MARTIN KUNDI MACHA

134.MARY ALOYCE KILEMBE

135.MBEGU MOTO

136.MGENI ALLY ZEGEGA

137.MKEGANI MKALABURE

138.MOHAMED ABDALLAH MPILI

139.MOHAMED ATHUMAN-ADMINISTARTOR OF

ATHUMAN MOHAMED

140.MOHAMED KHAMIS

141.MOHAMED MAKAMBA

142.MOHAMED MASUD JUMA

143.MOHAMED S. SIMBA

144.MOHAMED SALEHE HUNDA

145.MOSHI SAID BAKARI-ADMINISTRATOR OF

OMAR SELEMAN

146.MSAFIRI ASUMILE MWAKILEMBE

147.MTATIRO MAHANGA

148.MUSSA ABDU

149.MUSSA MOHAMED NZALAMINGU

150.MWAJABU J. CHUGO-ADMINISTARTIX OF

JUMA SALEHE

151.MWAJUMA YUSUPH JOKA

152.MWAJUMA AMIRI-ADMINISTARIX OF

ZUHURA SULTANI SHOMVI

153.MWANAHARUSI SAID

154.MWANAHERI SUDI BONDE

155.NATHANIEL E.MAHELELA

156.NICHOLAUS MAKERE

157.NURU CHAMBUSO

158.OMAR NASEER MWENEGOHA

159.OMAR ABDALLAH LAMBA

160.OMAR FEREJI NZOWA

161.OMARI MAULID BUMBULI-ADMINISTRATOR OF

SALIM SHABAN KUSI

162.PETER JOHN KOMBA

163.PRIVA EPHRAIM MSOKAMI

164.RAJABU ABDALLAH SHAHAA

165.RAJABU MUSSA

166.RAJABU SEMBETA

167.RAMADAHNI JUMA GONGO

168.RAMADHANI NASSORO MCHUNJUGO

169. RAMADHANI MOHAMED KABANDIKA

170.RAPHAEL PETRO

171.RAPHAEL FRANCIS KOFIA

172.RASHID A.CHEGE

173.RASHID ABDALLAH SAIDIA

174.RASHID ALLY MSHAURI

175.REHEMA IBRAHIM

176.RUKIA SEIF MOYOHINDUKA

177. SABRINA ALLY SALIM

178.SADA ALLY YUSUPH

179.SADA MOHAMED ATHUMAN

180.SADI ABDALLAH

181.SAID ALLY MSHAURI

182.SAID RASHID MPABUKA

183.SAID SALEHE HUNDA

184.SAID SEIF KAPERA

185.SAID SELEMAN MVUGARO

186.SAID RAMADHANI KIOMOLE-ADMINISTRATOR OF

RAMADHAN OMAR KIOMOLE

187.SAID WAZIRI HAMAD

188. SALEHE IDDI UREMBO

189. SALEHE JUMMANNE-ADMINISTRATOR OF

AMINA JUMMANNE

190.SALEHE KUNGA

191.SALUM ABDALLAH

192. SALUM KIBWANA SELEMAN

193.SALUM MZENGA JUMA

194.SALUM ZUBERI KANDU

195. SANDAS N. MACHA

196.SARAI MBANJO YUSUPH

197.SALEHE MOHAMED MBULU

198.SEIF SELEMAN SEIF

199. SELEMAN ATHUMAN GOBARI

200. SELEMAN MAFAUME MIKINGO

201. SELEMAN NYANGANYANGA

202.SELEMAN WAZIRI

203.SELEMAN YUSUPH

204.SELEMAN SALUM

205. SELEMAN SEIF SELEMAN

206.SHABAN SAID MWANAMBEGA

207.SHIDA SULTANI KONDO

208.SHOMARI SHOMARI LUKALI

209.SIASA MOHAMED HUNDA

210.SIASA SAID

211.SIJALI ABDALLAH LAMBA

212.SIKUDHANI SALUM MGOMBA

213.SOFIA ISSA SALUM-ADMINISTRATX OF ISSA

SALUM SALEHE

214.MWISHEHE JUMA

215.SOPHIA KHALFANI

216.SOPHIA SELEMANI

217.SPATA GODWIN MARIA

218. SULTANI RAJABU

219.SUNUKU A.MASENZA

220.TATU FUMU SHUKURU

221.TATU RAMADAHNI JUMA

222. TATU RASHID USSI-ADMINISTATRIX OF

SHARIFA RASHIDI USSI

223.TATU SALUM

224. VENANNCE JAMES TEMBA

225. VITALIS JOHN LYIMO

226.WILLIAM NGAPANDA

227.WILSON OLWAR ODERO

228.WNINFRIDA L.MBUYA-ADMIISTRATIX OF

JOHN BONAT MUSHA

229.YAHYA JUMA MOHAMED

230.YA SAIDI HUNDA

231.YOHANA THOMAS

232.YUSPH NDONYA

233.ZAINA MOHAMED MWENEGOHA

234.ILYASA MTUMWA MAQSOUD

235.ZAKHIA RASHID MKUDULA

236.ZAMOYONI MBWANA MPATE-ADMINISTRATOR OF

MOHMAED NG'OMBO

237.ZENA BAKARI KITABE

238.ZENA ODA

239.ZEITUN OMAR MBONDE

240.ZUBEDA SAID-ADMINISTATIZ OF ABDUL HASSAN

VERSUS

1. THE PERMANENT SECRETARY MINISTRY OF INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT

2. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

RESPONDENT'S

RULING

Date of last Order: 05/12/2022 Date of Ruling: 09/11/2023

K. D. MHINA, J.

This application has been preferred under Section 11(2) of the Appellate Jurisdiction Act CAP 141 (R.E2019) and Section 21 of the Law of Limitation Act (CAP 89 R.E 2019)

In the application, the chamber summons is supported by the affidavit of Mr. Melchzedeck Joachim, learned counsel for the applicants', which expounds the grounds for the application

The applicants inter alia are seeking for the following orders:

That this honourable court be pleased to grant extension of time for the Applicants to file a Notice of Appeal against the whole decision of the Land Case No. 9 of 2010 at the High Court of Tanzania (Land Division) al Dar cs Salaam. Delivered on 27 June 2014 by Hon. Kibella J.

The application was heard by way of written submission, and the applicants were represented by Mr. Melchzedeck Joachim, learned counsel, while the respondents were represented by Ms. Luciana V. Kikala, learned State Attorney.

To support his application Mr. Joachim submitted that the Applicants have been in court diligently attempting to challenge Land Case No. 9 of 2010. Previously they managed to file their first appeal before the Court of Appeal in Civil Appeal No. 104 of 2014 on time and was struck out for lack of certificate of delay.

Thereafter, on the 15 September 2017 the applicants lodged an application for extension of time to file leave and appeal out of time vide Misc. Application No. 865 of 2017. However, that application on 31 May 2018 was struck out on legal technicalities. Relentless, the applicants again knocked the doors of the courts vide Misc. Land Application No. 387 of 2018 at this court requesting for extension of time to file leave and notice of appeal out of time. The application was granted.

After that grant the applicants proceeded to file the appeal at the Court of Appeal which was Civil Appeal No. 228 of 2020. At the hearing of the appeal, it was discovered that the appeal was defective. For that reason, on 24 April 2023, the applicants withdrew the appeal in order to pave the way of rectifying the defect.

Thereafter, the applicants came back to Court and filed an application for extension of time to file the Notice of Appeal vide Misc, Land Application No. 329 of 2023 but the same was withdrawn on 21 July 2013.

On 2 August 2023 this application was filed.

To substantiate his submission Mr. Joachim referred this court to the decision of the Court of Appeal in **Bank M T. Ltd vs Enock Mwakyusa**, Civil Application 520 of 2017 (Tanzlii) where it was held that;

"A distinction had to be drawn between cases involving real or actual delays and those such as the present one which clearly only involved technical delays in the sense that the original appeal was lodged in time but had been found to be incompetent for one or another reason and a fresh appeal had to be instituted. In the present case the applicant had acted immediately after the pronouncement of the ruling of the Court striking out the first appeal. In these circumstances an extension of time ought to be granted."

Mr. Joachim further submitted that in this present application only 10 days lapsed after withdrawal, because the pleadings would need preparation. He cited **Vodacom Tanzania Public Limited Company vs. Commissioner General Tanzania Revenue Authority**, Civil Application No. 101/2020 Of 2021) where it was held that;

"From the foregoing, the underlying question is whether the 9 or even 10 days for the sake of argument are reasonable to prepare such an application and file. I am of the view that the said days are reasonable since they were spent preparing and filling the current application.

In response, Ms Kikala, submitted that granting extension of time is totally Court discretion and the same has been cemented in number of decisions including; in the case of Lyamuya Construction Company Ltd Versus Board of Registered Trustee Of Young Women's

Cristian Association of Tanzania. Civil Application No. 2 of 2010 CAT Arusha (Unreported) at page 6 and 7, where the Court held that:

"As a matter of general principle, it is in the discretion of the Court to grant extension of time. But the discretion is judicial, and so it must be exercised according to the rules of reason and justice, and not according to private opinion or arbitrarily. On the authorities however, the following guidelines may be formulated: -

- a) The Applicants must account for all the period of delay
- b) The delay should not be inordinate
- c) The Applicants must show diligence, and not apathy, negligence or Sloppiness in the prosecution of the action that he intends to take.
- d) If the Court feels that there are other sufficient reasons, such as the existence of a point of law to sufficient importance; such as the illegality of the decision sought to be challenged."

From above Ms. Kikala challenged the application by arguing that the applicants failed to account for each day of delay in their affidavit as it was not explained on what the applicants were doing from 21 July 2023 up to when they filed the application. Therefore, applicants have not accounted for 10 days delay and no sufficient reason had been adduced to suffice such a delay. To bolster her argument, she cited the cases of

Glory Shifwaya Samson vs. Raphael James Mwinuka Civil Application No. 506/17 of 2019 CAT at Dar es Salaam (Unreported) and Bushfire Hassan Vs Latina Lucian Masanya Civil Application No. 3/2007 (Unreported) where it was held that;

"Delay of even a single day, has to be accounted for otherwise there would be no point of having rules prescribing periods within which certain steps have to be taken...".

Mr. Joachim filed the rejoinder but I don't see the reason to analyze the same here because it was a reiteration of what he had submitted earlier, in his submission in chief.

I have read and considered the applicant's written submissions as well as the authorities cited. The Court is asked to exercise its discretionary power to extend time within which to file a notice of appeal against decision of Land Case No. 9 of 2010. Therefore, the issue that has to be resolved is whether the applicants have shown a good cause for this Court to exercise its discretion in granting an extension of time to file notice of appeal out of time.

As to what may constitute a good case, the Court of Appeal in the cited case of Lyamuya Construction Co. Ltd (Supra) and also in Hamis Babu Ally vs. The Judicial Officers Ethics Committee and three

others, Civil Application No 130/01 of 2020 (TanZlii), pointed out the following factors: -

- (a) To account for all period of delay
- (b) The delay should not be inordinate;
- (c) The applicant must show diligence and not apathy, negligence, or sloppiness in the prosecution of the action that he intends to take and
- (d) The existence of a point of law of sufficient importance, such as the illegality of the decision sought to be appealed against.

Therefore, this court has to consider and test if the applicants pass the test by showing a good or sufficient cause.

In the application at hand, the applicants have raised only one ground for seeking an extension; that they had earlier pursuing appeal which they filed on time at the Court of Appeal which later was withdrawn, and when they came back to this Court for extension of time, the application was also withdrawn for being defective. That last application was withdrawn on 27 July 2023 and the instant application was filed on 02 August 2023

Therefore, the period of limitation between 27 June 2014 when decision of land Case No. 9 of 2010 was delivered until 21 July 2023 when Misc. Land Application No. 329 of 2023 was withdrawn has been justified to be a technical delay which amount to a good cause in extending time.

Further, it is a common ground that, re-filling the struck out or withdrawn (with leave to refile) application in the extension of time is not a new phenomenon in our jurisdiction, as the Court of Appeal has already put it succinctly in several cases.

Ahmed Nassor, Civil Application No. 342/01 of 2017 (Tanzlii), it was held that the prosecution of an incompetent appeal when made in good faith and without negligence, ipso facto constitutes sufficient cause for an extension of time and delay arising from the prosecution of that appeal was not actual, it is a mere technical delay.

Having gone through the record and submission, there is no evidence of bad faith and negligence.

Flowing from above, it is; therefore, the period between the institution of the appeal at the Court of Appeal, pursuing other applications, and when this Court withdrew the application for extension of time on 21 July 2023, has been justified that the same is a technical delay. The applicants were pursuing the matters which were later found to be incompetent.

As to the period between 21 July 2023 when the previous application was withdrawn and when this application was filed on 2 August

2023, the delay is ten (10) days, and the question is whether ten days can be considered an inordinate delay.

This is not a new phenomenon in our jurisdiction, as the Court of Appeal in **Emmanuel Rurihafi and another vs. Janas Mrema**, Civil Appeal No. 314 of 2019 (Tanzlii), held that;

"The test to determine promptness is the question of fact which has to be decided on a case-by-case basis."

In that decision, the Court of Appeal found that 22 days was a reasonable time for collecting copies of the ruling and drawn order in the struck-out appeal, and preparing a meaningful application for an extension of time.

In the case of **Emmanuel Rurihafi** (Supra), the Court of Appeal quoted its other decisions with a similar issue. Those cases are;

One, Samwell Mussa Ng'omango (as a legal representative of the Estate of the late Masumbuko Mussa) vs. A.I.C (T) Ufundi, Civil Appeal No.26 of 2015 (unreported), where a single justice of appeal considered the circumstances of the case and observed that the applicant acted promptly for filing an application in less than 20 days after obtaining the certificate.

Two, Hamis Mohamed (as the Administrator of the Estate of the late Risasi Ngwale) vs. Mtumwa Moshi (as the Administrator of the Estate of the late Risasi Ngwale), Civil Application No. 407/17 of 2019, where also a single justice of appeal observed that a period of less than 30 days is a reasonable time.

In this matter, I think ten days for preparing this application for extension of time taking into account the number of applicants is reasonable. The applicants acted promptly, and there is no inordinate delay.

Consequently, the applicants advanced a good and sufficient ground to warrant this court to exercise its discretion in granting an extension of time.

In the upshot, I grant this application for an extension time. The applicants shall file notice of appeal within twenty-one (21) days from the date of delivery of this Ruling. Further, I order no costs.

It is so ordered.

K. D. MITINA JUDGE 09/11/2023