# IN THE HIGH COURT OF THE UNITED REPUBLIC OF TANZANIA LABOUR DIVISION AT DAR ES SALAAM

### **REVISION APPLICATION NO. 265 OF 2023**

### **BETWEEN**

KINGS AGRO LIMITED .......APPLICANT

AND

PAUL KADALA JOHN ......RESPONDENT

## **RULING**

**Date of last Order:** *07/12/2023* **Date of Ruling:** *07/12/2023* 

### MLYAMBINA, J.

By way of chamber summons made under Section 91(1)(a) and (2)(b)(c) and Section 94(1)(b)(i) of the Employment and Labour Relations Act [Cap 366 Revised Edition 2019], Rule 24(1),(2)(a),(b),(c),(d), (e) (f) and 3(a),(b),(c),(d), and Rule 28(1)(c)(d) and (e) of the Labour Court Rules, 2007 G.N. No. 106 of 2007; seeking for orders:

That, this Court be pleased to revise and set aside the Award of the Commission for Mediation and Arbitration at Dar es Salaam in the *Labour Dispute No. CMA/DSM/ILA/659/20/343* delivered on 8<sup>th</sup> September, 2023 by Hon. Nyagaya P. with regard to the decision on the Notice of Termination of Employment given to the Respondent by the Applicant.

There is no dispute that the impugned Award was delivered on 8<sup>th</sup> September, 2023. Indeed, there is no dispute that the instant application was lodged online on 22<sup>nd</sup> October, 2023.

The Respondent has, in reply, raised a legal objection that the present application for revision is hopelessly time barred.

Both parties agree that in terms of *Section 91(1)(a) of the Employment and Labour Relations Act (supra)*, the Revision must be filed within 42 days from the date of decision.

The dispute is on the date the Applicant was availed with the copy of Award. The Respondent contend that they were availed with the copy of Award on Monday 11<sup>th</sup> September, 2023. The Applicant on the other side contend that both parties were availed with the copy of Award on Friday 8<sup>th</sup> September, 2023.

I have dutifully gone through the CMA's file and noted that the copy of Award for the Applicant was collected by Joseph Antony Mnyogwa, Legal Officer on 8<sup>th</sup> September, 2023.

In the light of the afore facts and the decision of this Court in the case of **Richard Marwa v. EL-Hillal Minerals Ltd**, Revision No. 6 of 2014 at Shinyanga (unreported), p. 7, the Applicant should had filed an

application of extension of time prior filing an application for revision in order to file his revision out of time.

In the upshot, the preliminary objection is sustained. Consequently, in terms of *Section 3(1) of the Law of Limitation Act [Cap 89 R.E. 2019]*, the application is dismissed with no costs for being filed out to time. It is



Y. J. MLYAMBINA JUDGE 07/12/2023

# **COURT:**

Ruling delivered and dated 7<sup>th</sup> December, 2023 in the presence of Counsel Erick Mkandala for the Applicant and Sadoki George for the

Respondent.



Y. J. MLYAMBINA JUDGE 07/12/2023